

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,693	05/31/2007	Leslie Pape	BAC -127- A	3509
7550 Osnozzo11 THE WEINTRAUB GROUP, P.L.C. 28580 Orchard Lake Road			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, AMBER R	
Suite 140 Farmington Hi	ills. MI 48334	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A P P M	AP				
Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/583,693	PAPE, LESLIE				
Examiner	Art Unit				
AMBER R. ANDERSON	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	AMBER R. ANDERSON				

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:
 M Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>27 October 2010</u>. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(d) A proprised reply was received on, out tools not constitute a proper reply under 37 OFR 1.113 (a) of the limital rejection. A proper reply under 37 OFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice Allowance (PTOL-65).
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court revier of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
See continuation.
/Alissa L. Hoey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765
Delitions to service under 27 CED 4.197/s) or fix) as promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of aboutcoment under 27 CED 4.194, should be promoted to withdraw the holding of about the promoted to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
US Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation: On April 28, 2011 Mr. David Oppenhuizen confirmed that no response has been filed and that the application has been abandoned.